

Some Fixed Point and Common Fixed Point Theorems in 2-Metric Spaces

Rajesh Shrivastava¹, Neha Jain², K. Qureshi³

¹Deptt. of Mathematics, Govt. Science and comm. College Benazir Bhopal (M.P) India

²Research Scholar, Govt. Science and comm. College Benazir Bhopal (M.P) India

³Additional Director, Higher Education Dept. Govt. of M.P., Bhopal (M.P) India

Abstract : In the present paper we prove some fixed point and common fixed point theorems in 2-Metric spaces for new rational expression . Which generalize the well known results.

Keywords : Fixed point , 2-Metric Space , Common fixed point , Metric space , Completeness .

I. Introduction

The concept of 2-metric space is a natural generalization of the metric space . Initially , it has been investigated by S. Gahler [1,2] . The study was further enhanced by B.E. Rhoades [6] , Iseki [3] , Miczko and Palezewski [4] and Saha and Day [7] , Khan[5] . Moreover B.E. Rhoades and other introduced several properties of 2- metric spaces and proved some fixed point and common fixed point theorems for contractive and expansion mappings and also have found some interesting results in 2-metric space , where in each cases the idea of convergence of sum of a finite or infinite series of real constants plays a crucial role in the proof of fixed point theorems . In this same way, we prove a fixed point theorem and common fixed point theorems for the mapping satisfying different types of contractive conditions in 2-metric space.

II. Definitions and Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 :- Let X be a non empty set . A real valued function d on $X \times X \times X$ is said to be a 2-metric in X if

- (i) To each pair of distinct points x, y in X . There exists a point $z \in X$ such that $d(x, y, z) \neq 0$
- (ii) $d(x, y, z) = 0$, When at least of x, y, z are equal.
- (iii) $d(x, y, z) = d(y, z, x) = d(x, z, y)$
- (iv) $d(x, y, z) \leq d(x, y, w) + d(x, w, z) + d(w, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z, w \in X$

When d is a 2-metric on X , then the ordered pair (X, d) is called 2- metric space.

2.2 A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in 2-metric space (X, d) is said to be convergent to an element $x \in X$ if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x, a) = 0$ for all $a \in X$.

It follows that if the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to x then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, a, b) = d(x, a, b) \text{ for all } a, b \in X$$

2.3 A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in 2-metric space X is a Cauchy sequence if
 $d(x_m, x_n, a) = 0$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $a \in X$.

2.4 If a sequence is convergent in a 2-metric space then it is a Cauchy Sequence.

2.5 A 2-metric space (X, d) is said to be complete if every Cauchy Sequence in X is convergent.

Proposition 2.6 :-

If a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in 2-metric space converges to x then every subsequence of $\{x_n\}$ also converges to the same limit x .

Proposition 2.7

Limit of a sequence in a 2-metric space, if exists, is unique.

3 Main Results :

Theorem 3.1

Let T be a mapping of a 2-metric spaces into itself. If T satisfies the following conditions:
 $T^2 = I$, where I is identity mapping

----- (1.1)

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx - Ty, a) &\geq \alpha \frac{d(x - Tx, a) d(y - Ty, a)}{d(x - y, a)} \\ &+ \beta \frac{d(y - Ty, a) d(y - Tx, a) d(x - Ty, a) + [d(x - y, a)]^3}{[d(x - y, a)]^2} \\ &+ \gamma \left[\frac{d(x - Tx, a) + d(y - Ty, a)}{2} \right] \\ &+ \delta \left[\frac{d(x - Ty, a) + d(y - Tx, a)}{2} \right] + \eta d(x - y, a) \end{aligned} \quad \dots \dots \dots (1.2)$$

Where $x \neq y, a > 0$ is real with $8\alpha + 10\beta + 4\gamma + 2\delta + 3\eta > 4$.

Then T has unique fixed point.

Proof :- Suppose x is any point in 2-metric space X .

Taking $y = \frac{1}{2}(T + I)x$, $z = T(y)$

$$\begin{aligned} d(z - x, a) &= d(Ty - T^2x, a) = d(Ty - T(Tx), a) \\ &\geq \alpha \frac{d(y - Ty, a) d(Tx - T(Tx), a)}{d(y - Tx, a)} \\ &+ \beta \frac{d(Tx - T(Tx), a) d(Tx - Ty, a) d(y - T(Tx), a) + [d(y - Tx, a)]^3}{[d(y - Tx, a)]^2} \\ &+ \gamma \left[\frac{d(y - Ty, a) + d(Tx - T(Tx), a)}{2} \right] \\ &+ \delta \left[\frac{d(y - T(Tx), a) + d(Tx - Ty, a)}{2} \right] \\ &+ \eta [d(y - Tx, a)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \geq \alpha \frac{d(y-Ty, a)d(Tx-x, a)}{\frac{1}{2}d(x-Tx, a)} \\
& + \beta \frac{d(Tx-x, a)[d(Tx-y, a) + d(y-Ty, a)]d(y-x, a) + [d(y-Tx, a)]^3}{\frac{1}{4}[d(x-Tx, a)]^2} \\
& + \gamma \left[\frac{d(y-Ty, a) + d(Tx-x, a)}{2} \right] \\
& + \delta \left[\frac{d(y-x, a) + d(Tx-y, a) + d(y-Ty, a)}{2} \right] \\
& + \eta [d(y-Tx, a)] \\
& \geq 2\alpha d(y-Ty, a) \\
& + \beta \frac{d(Tx-x, a) \left[\frac{1}{2}d(x-Tx, a) + d(y-Ty, a) \right] \cdot \frac{1}{2}d(x-Tx, a) + \frac{1}{8}[d(x-Tx, a)]^3}{\frac{1}{4}[d(x-Tx, a)]^2} \\
& + \gamma \left[\frac{d(y-Ty, a) + d(Tx-x, a)}{2} \right] \\
& + \delta \left[\frac{\frac{1}{2}d(x-Tx, a) + \frac{1}{2}d(x-Tx, a) + d(y-Ty, a)}{2} \right] + \frac{\eta}{2}d(x-Tx, a) \\
& \geq 2\alpha d(y-Ty, a) \\
& + \frac{\beta}{2} \left\{ 4 \left[\frac{1}{2}d(x-Tx, a) + d(y-Ty, a) \right] + \frac{[d(x-Tx, a)]^3}{[d(x-Tx, a)]^2} \right\} \\
& + \gamma \left[\frac{d(y-Ty, a) + d(Tx-x, a)}{2} \right] \\
& + \delta \left[\frac{d(x-Tx, a) + d(y-Ty, a)}{2} \right] \\
& + \frac{\eta}{2} [d(x-Tx, a)] \\
& \geq 2\alpha d(y-Ty, a) + \frac{\beta}{2} [2d(x-Tx, a) + 4d(y-Ty, a) + d(x-Tx, a)] \\
& + \frac{\gamma}{2} [d(y-Ty, a) + d(Tx-x, a)] + \frac{\delta}{2} [d(x-Tx, a) + d(y-Ty, a)] + \frac{\eta}{2} d(x-Tx, a)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\geq d(x-Tx, a) \left(\frac{3\beta}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{\eta}{2} \right) + \left(2\alpha + 2\beta + \frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2} \right) d(y-Ty, a)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} d(x-Tx, a) (3\beta + \gamma + \delta + \eta) + \frac{1}{2} d(y-Ty, a) (4\alpha + 4\beta + \gamma + \delta)$$

Now for

$$d(u-x, a) = d(2y-z-x, a) = d(Tx-Ty, a)$$

$$\geq \alpha \frac{d(x-Tx, a) d(y-Ty, a)}{d(x-y, a)} + \beta \frac{d(y-Ty, a) d(y-Tx, a) d(x-Ty, a) + [d(x-y, a)]^3}{[d(x-y, a)]^2}$$

$$+ \gamma \left[\frac{d(x-Tx, a) + d(y-Ty, a)}{2} \right] + \delta \left[\frac{d(x-Ty, a) + d(y-Tx, a)}{2} \right] + \eta d(x-y, a)$$

$$\geq \alpha \frac{d(x-Tx, a) d(y-Ty, a)}{\frac{1}{2} d(x-Tx, a)}$$

$$+ \beta \frac{d(y-Ty, a) \frac{1}{2} d(x-Tx, a) \left[\frac{1}{2} d(x-Tx, a) \right] + \frac{1}{8} [d(x-Tx, a)]^3}{\frac{1}{4} [d(x-Tx, a)]^2}$$

$$+ \gamma \left[\frac{d(x-Tx, a) + d(y-Ty, a)}{2} \right] + \delta \left[\frac{\frac{1}{2} d(x-Tx, a) + \frac{1}{2} d(x-Tx, a)}{2} \right]$$

$$+ \frac{\eta}{2} d(x-Tx, a)$$

$$\geq 2\alpha d(y-Ty, a) + \beta d(y-Ty, a) + \frac{\beta}{2} d(x-Tx, a)$$

$$+ \gamma \left[\frac{d(x-Tx, a) + d(y-Ty, a)}{2} \right] + \frac{\delta}{2} d(x-Tx, a) + \frac{\eta}{2} d(x-Tx, a)$$

$$\geq d(x-Tx, a) \left(\frac{\beta}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{\eta}{2} \right) + d(y-Ty, a) \left(2\alpha + \beta + \frac{\gamma}{2} \right)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} d(x-Tx, a) (\beta + \gamma + \delta + \eta) + \frac{1}{2} d(y-Ty, a) (4\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma)$$

Now

$$d(z-u, a) = d(z-x, a) + d(x-u, a)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} d(x-Tx, a) (3\beta + \gamma + \delta + \eta) + \frac{1}{2} d(y-Ty, a) (4\alpha + 4\beta + \gamma + \delta)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} d(x-Tx, a) (\beta + \gamma + \delta + \eta) + \frac{1}{2} d(y-Ty, a) (4\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \frac{1}{2}d(x-Tx, a)(3\beta + \gamma + \delta + \eta + \beta + \gamma + \delta + \eta) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}d(y-Ty, a)(4\alpha + 4\beta + \gamma + \delta + 4\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2}d(x-Tx, a)(4\beta + 2\gamma + 2\delta + 2\eta) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}d(y-Ty, a)(8\alpha + 6\beta + 2\gamma + \delta) \quad \dots \quad (1.3)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
d(z-u, a) &= d(T(y)-T(2y-z), a) \\
&= d(T(y)-2y+T(y), a) \\
&= 2d(Ty-y, a) \quad \dots \quad (1.4)
\end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned}
2d(Ty-y, a) &\geq \frac{1}{2}d(x-Tx, a)(4\beta + 2\gamma + 2\delta + 2\eta) + \frac{1}{2}d(y-Ty, a)(8\alpha + 6\beta + 2\gamma + \eta) \\
\Rightarrow [4 - (8\alpha + 6\beta + 2\gamma + \eta)]d(y-Ty, a) &\geq (4\beta + 2\alpha + 2\delta + 2\eta)d(x-Tx, a) \\
\Rightarrow d(x-Tx, a) &\leq \frac{4 - (8\alpha + 6\beta + 2\gamma + \eta)}{4\beta + 2\gamma + 2\delta + 2\eta}d(y-Ty, a) \\
\Rightarrow d(x-Tx, a) &\leq kd(y-Ty, a) \text{ as } (8\alpha + 10\beta + 4\gamma + 2\delta + 3\eta > 4)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Where, } k = \frac{4 - (8\alpha + 6\beta + 2\gamma + \eta)}{4\beta + 2\gamma + 2\delta + 2\eta} < 1$$

$$\text{Let } R = \frac{1}{2}(T+I) \text{ , then}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
d(R^2(x)-R(x), a) &= d(R(R(x))-R(x), a) \\
&= d(R(y)-y, a) = \frac{1}{2}d(y-Ty, a) \\
&< \frac{k}{2}d(x-Tx, a)
\end{aligned}$$

By the definition of R we claim that $\{R^n(x)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X, $\{R^n(x)\}$ is converges to some element x_0 in X.

$$\text{So } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{R^n(x)\} = x_0. \text{ So } \{R(x_0)\} = x_0$$

$$\text{Hence } T(x_0) = x_0$$

So x_0 is a fixed point of T.

Uniqueness

If possible let $y_0 \neq x_0$ is another fixed point of T. Then

$$d(x_0 - y_0, a) = d(Tx_0 - Ty_0, a)$$

$$\geq \alpha \frac{d(x_0 - Tx_0, a) d(y_0 - Ty_0, a)}{d(x_0 - y_0, a)}$$

$$+ \beta \frac{d(y_0 - Ty_0, a) d(y_0 - Tx_0, a) d(x_0 - Ty_0, a) + [d(x_0 - y_0, a)]^3}{[d(x_0 - y_0, a)]^2}$$

$$+ \gamma \left[\frac{d(x_0 - Tx_0, a) d(y_0 - Ty_0, a)}{2} \right]$$

$$+ \delta \left[\frac{d(x_0 - Ty_0, a) + d(y_0 - Tx_0, a)}{2} \right]$$

$$+ \eta d(x_0 - y_0, a)$$

$$\geq \beta d(x_0 - y_0, a) + \delta d(x_0 - y_0, a) + \eta d(x_0 - y_0, a)$$

$$\geq (\beta + \delta + \eta) d(x_0 - y_0, a)$$

Which is contradiction so $x_0 = y_0$

Hence fixed point is unique

Hence proved

Theorem 3.2 :-

Let T and G be two non expansive mappings of a 2-metric space X into itself . T and G satisfy the conditions:

(2.1) T and G commute .

(2.2) $T^2 = I$ and $G^2 = I$, where I is identity mapping .

(2.3)

$$d(Tx - Ty, a) \geq \alpha \frac{d(Gx - Tx, a) d(Gy - Ty, a)}{d(Gx - Gy, a)}$$

$$+ \beta \frac{d(Gy - Ty, a) d(Gy - Tx, a) d(Gx - Ty, a) + [d(Gx - Gy, a)]^3}{[d(Gx - Gy, a)]^2}$$

$$+ \gamma \left[\frac{d(Gx - Tx, a) + d(Gy - Ty, a)}{2} \right] + \delta \left[\frac{d(Gx - Ty, a) + d(Gy - Tx, a)}{2} \right] + \eta [d(Gx - Gy, a)]$$

For every $x, y \in X$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \eta \in [0, 1]$ with $x \neq y$ and $d(Gx, Gy) \neq 0$ and $\beta + \delta + \eta > 1$

Then there exist a Unique Common Fixed Point of T and G such that $T(x_0) = x_0$ and $G(x_0) = x_0$.

Proof :-

Suppose x is point in 2-metric space X it is clear that $(TG)^2 = I$

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(TG.G(x) - TG.G(y), a) &\geq \alpha \frac{d(G(G^2x) - T(G^2x), a) d(G(G^2y) - T(G^2y), a)}{d(G(G^2x) - T(G^2y), a)} \\
 &+ \beta \frac{d(G(G^2y) - T(G^2y), a) d(G(G^2y) - T(G^2x), a) d(G(G^2x) - T(G^2y), a) + [d(G(G^2x) - G(G^2y), a)]^3}{[d(G(G^2x) - G(G^2y), a)]^2} \\
 &+ \gamma \left[\frac{d(G(G^2x) - T(G^2x), a) + d(G(G^2y) - T(G^2y), a)}{2} \right] \\
 &+ \delta \left[\frac{d(G(G^2x) - T(G^2y), a) + d(G(G^2y) - T(G^2x), a)}{2} \right] \\
 &+ \eta [d(G(G^2x) - G(G^2y), a)] \\
 \geq \alpha \frac{d(Gx - TG(Gx), a) d(Gy - TG(Gy), a)}{d(Gx - Gy, a)} \\
 &+ \beta \frac{d(Gy - TG(Gy), a) d(Gy - TG(Gx), a) d(Gx - TG(Gy)) + [d(Gx - Gy, a)]^3}{[d(Gx - Gy, a)]^2} \\
 &+ \gamma \left[\frac{d(Gx - TG(Gx), a) + d(Gy - TG(Gy), a)}{2} \right] \\
 &+ \delta \left[\frac{d(Gx - TG(Gx), a) + d(Gy - TG(Gy), a)}{2} \right] \\
 &+ \eta d(Gx - Gy, a)
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking $G(x) = p, G(y) = q$, where $p \neq q$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \alpha \frac{d(p-TG(p), a)d(q-TG(q), a)}{d(p-q, a)} \\
&+ \beta \frac{d(q-TG(q), a)d(q-TG(p), a)d(p-TG(q), a) + [d(p-q, a)]^3}{[d(p-q, a)]^2} \\
&+ \gamma \left[\frac{d(p-TG(p), a) + d(q-TG(q), a)}{2} \right] \\
&+ \delta \left[\frac{d(p-TG(q), a) + d(q-TG(p), a)}{2} \right] \\
&+ \eta d(p-q, a)
\end{aligned}$$

Taking $TG = R$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&d(R(p)-R(q), a) \geq \alpha \frac{d(p-R(p), a)d(q-R(q), a)}{d(p-q, a)} \\
&+ \beta \frac{d(q-R(q), a)d(q-R(p), a)d(p-R(q), a) + [d(p-q, a)]^3}{[d(p-q, a)]^2} \\
&+ \gamma \left[\frac{d(p-R(p), a) + d(q-R(p), a)}{2} \right] \\
&+ \delta \left[\frac{d(p-R(q), a) + d(q-R(p), a)}{2} \right] \\
&+ \eta d(p-q, a)
\end{aligned}$$

It is clear by theorem (3.1) ; that $R = TG$ has at least one fixed point say x_0 in K that is

$$R(x_0) = TG(x_0) = x_0$$

$$\text{and so } T.(TG)(x_0) = T(x_0)$$

$$G(x_0) = T(x_0)$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
&d(Tx_0 - x_0, a) = d(Tx_0 - T^2(x_0), a) = d(Tx_0 - T.T(x_0), a) \\
&\geq \alpha \frac{d(G(x_0) - T(x_0), a)d(GT(x_0) - T(Tx_0), a)}{d(G(x_0) - G(Tx_0), a)} \\
&+ \beta \frac{d(G(Tx_0) - T(Tx_0), a)d(G(Tx_0) - T(x_0), a)d(G(x_0) - T(Tx_0), a) + [d(G(x_0) - G(Tx_0), a)]^3}{[d(G(x_0) - G(Tx_0), a)]^2} \\
&+ \gamma \left[\frac{d(G(x_0) - T(x_0), a) + d(G(Tx_0) - T(Tx_0), a)}{2} \right] \\
&+ \delta \left[\frac{d(G(x_0) - T(Tx_0), a) + d(G(Tx_0) - T(x_0), a)}{2} \right] + \eta d(G(x_0) - G(Tx_0), a) \\
&= (\beta + \delta + \eta) d(Tx_0 - x_0, a)
\end{aligned}$$

So $T(x_0) = x_0 \quad (\beta + \gamma + \eta > 1)$

That is x_0 is the fixed point of T.

But $T(x_0) = G(x_0)$ so $G(x_0) = x_0$.

Hence x_0 is the fixed point of T and G.

Uniqueness :-

If possible let $y_0 \neq x_0$ is another common fixed point of T and G.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x_0 - y_0, a) &= d(T^2(x_0) - T^2(y_0), a) = d(T(T(x_0)) - T(T(y_0)), a) \\
 &\geq \alpha \frac{d(G(Tx_0) - T(Tx_0), a) d(G(Ty_0) - T(Ty_0), a)}{d(G(Tx_0) - G(Ty_0), a)} \\
 &\quad + \beta \frac{d(G(Ty_0) - T(Ty_0), a) d(G(Ty_0) - T(Tx_0), a) d(G(Tx_0) - T(Ty_0), a) + [d(G(Tx_0) - G(Ty_0), a)]^3}{[d(G(Tx_0) - G(Ty_0), a)]^2} \\
 &\quad + \gamma \left[\frac{d(G(Tx_0) - T(Tx_0), a) + d(G(Ty_0) - T(Ty_0), a)}{2} \right] \\
 &\quad + \delta \left[\frac{d(G(Tx_0) - T(Ty_0), a) + d(G(Ty_0) - T(Tx_0), a)}{2} \right] + \eta d(G(Tx_0) - G(Ty_0), a) \\
 &\geq \beta d(x_0 - y_0, a) + \delta d(x_0 - y_0, a) + \eta d(x_0 - y_0, a) \\
 &\geq (\beta + \delta + \eta) d(x_0 - y_0, a)
 \end{aligned}$$

But $\beta + \delta + \eta > 1$

So $x_0 = y_0$.

So Common Fixed point is Unique.

References

- [1] S.Gahler , 2-metric Raume and iher topologische struktur , Math. Nacher ., 26(1963) , 115-148 .
- [2] S.Gahler , Über die unifromisieberkeit 2-metrischer Raume , Math. Nachr. 28(1965) , 235-244.
- [3] K. Iseki , Fixed point theorems in 2-metric space, Math. Seminar. Notes ,Kobe Univ.3(1975), 133-136 .
- [4] A. Miczko and B. Paleweski , Common fixed points of contractive type mappings in 2-metric spaces, Math.Nachr., 124(1985) , 341-355.
- [5] M.S., Khan, On fixed point theorems in 2-metric spaces , Publ Inst. Math (Beograd) (N.S.), 27(41)(1980) , 107-112.
- [6] B.E. Rhoades , Contractive type mappings on a 2-metric space , Math. Nachr., 91(1979) , 151-155.
- [7] M. Saha and D. Day , On the theory of fixed points contractive type mappings in a 2-metric space, Int. Journal of Math . Analysis , 3(to be published in 2009), no.6, 283-293.
- [8] M. Saha and A.P. Baisnab , Fixed point of mappings with contractive iterate, Proc. Nat, Acad, Sci. India, 63A, IV, (1993) 645-650.
- [9] Saha and Day , Some results on fixed points of mappings in a 2-metric space, J .Contemp. Math. Sciences, Vol.4 No. 21 (2009) 1021-1028.